UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,215	06/09/2005	Warner Rudolph Theophile Ten Kate	NL 021375	1789
	7590 01/28/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		TSUI, WILSON W		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2178		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/538,215	TEN KATE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
WILSON TSUI	2178	

	WILSON ISUI	2178	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 November 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	o). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	•	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the size forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	•	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 	•	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 11-30. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/CESAR B PAULA/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2178	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to the independent claims have changed the scope of the invention, and thus requires further search and consideration. .

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant has amended claim 28 to state 'an information carrier object', to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection from the final office action 09/29/08. However, the "information carrier object" amendment still can be interpreted as a type of carrier wave; which is not statutory. The Examiner suggests the applicant amend the claim to recite a computer readable medium instead.

Additionally, with respect to claims 11, 21, and 28, the applicant argues that "it is the applicant's position that a list of applications and URLs can not both be content information and application information related to the content information as seems to be the conclusion drawn in paragraph 19 of the office action". However, the examiner further explains that, as explained in the previous office action, the application information is the type of application, and the content information is application access information (Freund: Abstract). Thus, the applicant's argument is not persuasive, since the content information, and application information are not the same. Should the applicant require the content information to be of a specific type or the application information comprise specific data, then the examiner suggests the applicant further clarify the independent claims to indicate such requirements.

Continuation of 13. Other: This advisory action replaces the advisory action sent on 01/05/09.